



Docket No.: 224569US25SD

216D



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/635,116

Applicants: SUJIAN HUANG ET AL.

Filing Date: AUGUST 09, 2000

For: METHOD FOR SIMULATING DRILLING OF  
ROLLER CONE BITS AND ITS APPLICATION TO  
ROLLER CONE BIT DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE

Technical Center: 2100

Group PETER WONG

Director:

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RECEIVED

OCT 28 2003

Technology Center 2100

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

  
Charles L. Gholz  
Registration No. 26,395

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)  
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

James R. Boler  
Registration No. 37,058

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**



DOCKET NO: 224569US25SD

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

SUJIAN HUANG ET AL.

: GROUP DIRECTOR: PETER WONG  
TECHNICAL CENTER 2100

SERIAL NO: 09/635,116

**RECEIVED**

FILED: AUGUST 09,2000

OCT 28 2003

FOR: METHOD FOR SIMULATING  
DRILLING OF ROLLER CONE BITS AND  
ITS APPLICATION TO ROLLER CONE  
BIT DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE

Technology Center 2100

HALLIBURTON'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF GROUP DIRECTOR  
WONG'S DECISION MAILED OCTOBER 09, 2003

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In his decision on Halliburton's petition to withdraw Smith's application from issue under 37 CFR 1.313, Group Director Wong acknowledged that "The USPTO is authorized to withdraw an application from issue after the payment of the issue fee for interference," citing 37 CFR 1.313(b)(4). However, he declined to initiate proceedings to do so on the ground that:


Interference is defined as "a proceeding instituted in the Patent and Trademark Office before the Board to determine any question of patentability and priority of invention between two or more parties claiming the same patentable invention." See 37 CFR § 1.601(i). As no interference has been declared to date, the conditions of 37 CFR § 1.313(b) have not been met....

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Application No. 09/635,116  
Request for Reconsideration

With respect, it is pointed out, that if the interference had been declared, ex parte prosecution, including the administrative steps leading to issuance of a patent to Smith, would have been suspended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.615(a). Accordingly, 37 CFR 1.313(b)(4)'s reference to withdrawing an application from issue "For interference" inherently means withdrawing the application from issue prior to declaration of the interference--that is, it refers to sending the application to the board so that both the target application (in this case, the Smith application) and the targeting application (in this case, the Halliburton application) can be referred to an APJ, who will then decide whether or not to declare an interference.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  


---

Charles L. Gholz  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 26,395

James R. Boler  
Registration No. 37,058

Customer Number  
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 08/03)


BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that one copy of the foregoing is being sent by means of pdf  
addressed to:

Alan D. Rosenthal, Esq.  
Rosenthal & Osha L.L.P.  
One Houston Center  
Suite 2800  
1221 McKinney Street  
Houston, TX 77010

Dated: 16 Oct 05



Charles L. Gholz

I:\INTERFERENCE\CASES\224569GRO\PLEADINGS\REQRECONSIDERATION.DOC

BEST AVAILABLE COPY